



why filmon x's claim that it is a cable system was nixed

Radio+Television Business Report

March 24, 2017

Lucy Holmes Plovnick's article, "Why FilmOn X's Claim That It Is A Cable System Was Nixed," was published in *Radio+Television Business Report* on March 24, 2017.

The article discusses the recent decision held by the Ninth Circuit in *Fox Television Stations, Inc. v. Aerokiller, et al.* (now operating under the name FilmOn). The Ninth Circuit reversed the judgment of the district court, holding that internet-based retransmission services like FilmOn are not eligible to retransmit broadcast television programming under the cable compulsory license in Section 111 of the Copyright Act. FilmOn X is a service providing paying subscribers with access to retransmitted broadcast signals over the internet.

From the article...

"On March 21, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ruled in *Fox Television Stations, Inc. v. Aerokiller, et al.* that **FilmOn X**, a service providing paying subscribers with access to retransmitted broadcast signals over the internet, did not qualify for the cable statutory license in Section 111 of the Copyright Act."

attorneys

Lucy Holmes Plovnick, AIGP,
CIPP/US

practice areas

entertainment & ip litigation
entertainment transactions
intellectual property