Tech Industry Employees Protest for End to Mandatory Arbitration

Labor & Employment Law Update

on January 24, 2019

In November, thousands of Google employees walked out of work in protest against the company's practice of compelling mandatory arbitration in sexual harassment claims. Frequently referred to as "forced arbitration" in the context of the current debate, Google responded by modifying its new hire letters to make mandatory arbitration optional for sexual harassment and assault claims. Several other big-name tech companies followed suit and ended the practice for sexual harassment claims.

Now on the heels of that initial success, tech industry employees are pushing for an end to all mandatory arbitrations for employee-related claims. Last week, protestors, organized under a group called Googlers for Ending Forced Arbitration, conducted a massive social media campaign pressing Google and other tech companies to eliminate their mandatory arbitration schemes for employee claims. Members of Googlers for Ending Forced Arbitration argue that mandatory arbitration schemes lack transparency and enable misconduct against employees. They view going to court as the solution, and demand that arbitration always be optional. In addition, they seek elimination of onerous confidentiality requirements and want an end to class-action waivers so employees can file similar claims together.

So far, neither Google nor the other targeted tech giants have signaled a willingness to change their current mandatory arbitration schemes beyond the recent modification for sexual harassment claims. However, groups such as Googlers for Ending Forced Arbitration do not appear to be letting up either. Undoubtedly, the scope and general use of mandatory arbitration clauses will continue to be a hot topic of debate in 2019.

