PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 10	NUMBER 2	February	2024
Editor's Note: Changes to Kno Victoria Prussen Spears	w About		37
Authorization Act for Fiscal Ye	ractors and Their Supply Chains in Na ear 2024 L. Brown, J. Ryan Frazee, Lisa Rechder		
Joshua K. Waldman and Scott B	ouboulis		39
U.S. Court of Federal Claims I Department of Defense in Copy Scott A. Felder and Lisa Rechde		y U.S.	45
1 0	Changes Proposed to Standardize Import to Impose New Cyber Threat, Incid	A.	
	ey, Adam S. Hickey, Luke Levasseur and	1	48
The Cost Corner Government Contracts Cost an Keith Szeliga and Lillia Damalon	nd Pricing: Accounting for Unallowable uji	e Costs	54
In the Courts Steven A. Meyerowitz			59



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call or email: Email: heidi.a.litman@lexisnexis.com For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call: Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844 Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385 (800) 828-8341 LexisNexis® Support Center https://supportcenter.lexisnexis.com/app/home/ For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call (800) 223-1940 Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

ISSN: 2688-7290

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt).

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. Originally published in: 2017

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

MARY BETH BOSCO
Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

PABLO J. DAVIS

Of Counsel, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

MERLE M. DELANCEY JR.

Partner, Blank Rome LLP

J. ANDREW HOWARD

Partner, Alston & Bird LLP

KYLE R. JEFCOAT

Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP

JOHN E. JENSEN

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

DISMAS LOCARIA

Partner, Venable LLP

MARCIA G. MADSEN

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

KEVIN P. MULLEN

Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP

VINCENT J. NAPOLEON

Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP

KEITH SZELIGA

Partner, Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP

STUART W. TURNER

Counsel, Arnold & Porter

ERIC WHYTSELL

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report is published 12 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

U.S. Court of Federal Claims Releases Decision on Damages Owed by U.S. Department of Defense in Copyright Infringement Case

By Scott A. Felder and Lisa Rechden*

In this article, the authors examine a recent decision by the U.S. Court of Federal Claims and its implications for contractors that license commercial software to the government.

The U.S. Court of Federal Claims (COFC) recently unsealed its decision on damages for copyright infringement by the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) in 4DD Holdings, Inc. v. United States.¹ The COFC awarded 4DD Holdings nearly \$12 million for DOD's creation of tens of thousands of infringing copies of 4DD's commercial computer software, including actual damages in the nature of license and convenience fees for additional copies of the software plus additional compensation for the government's willful copyright infringement.

For contractors that license commercial software to the government, this decision is a welcome rebuke of the government's sometimes haphazard compliance with commercial software license terms.

THE CASE

The case arises out of the DOD's ongoing efforts to modernize and streamline its medical records databases. As an interim solution to this problem, the DOD acquired 64 core and 50 seat licenses to 4DD's Tetra Healthcare Federator software (TETRA) for approximately \$1 million and subject to 4DD's End-User Licensing Agreement (EULA). TETRA's EULA prohibits users (including the government) from making additional copies of the Tetra software. But DOD required that 4DD deactivate functionality that would allow 4DD to monitor DOD's use of the software, forcing 4DD to trust that DOD was abiding by the terms of the EULA and using only the number of licenses for which it paid.

DOD was not. Indeed, the contracting officer's representative admitted to the COFC that the government "easily gets out of whack" trying to track how

^{*} The authors, attorneys with Wiley Rein LLP, may be contacted at sfelder@wiley.law and lrechden@wiley.law, respectively.

¹ 4DD Holdings, Inc. v. United States, No. 15-945C (Ct. Fed. Cl., Nov. 30, 2023).

many licenses it has utilized (an admission that should concern software licensors). Not only did the tracking system fail to work generally, the government did not actually look at it and instead "just stupidly assumed" DOD was in compliance. Adding insult to injury, once the government realized it had exceeded the scope of its license, it ordered an untold number of unauthorized copies—and, along with them, the evidence of its infringement—to be destroyed.

In a previous decision,² the COFC found that 4DD's EULA prohibited copying of the TETRA software and the government violated the Copyright Act by installing more copies than those authorized by the EULA. The recently-published decision addressed the damages to which 4DD was entitled, including an effort to determine just how many unlicensed copies of TETRA DOD made and the corresponding "reasonable and entire" compensation owed to 4DD under 28 U.S.C. § 1498(b).

THE DECISION

Copyright owners will often prove damages by presenting evidence of either lost sales or diminished value. Here, however, DOD's infringing copying had neither deprived 4DD of sales nor diminished the value of its copyright. Accordingly, the COFC analyzed 4DD's damages through the lens of a hypothetical negotiation, applying the factors outlined in *Georgia-Pacific Corp.* v. U.S. Plywood Corp., which are often also applied in the copyright context.

Applying these factors, the COFC determined that the parties would have agreed to a per-core license fee of \$305.22 for each of 30,060 non-backup copies of the TETRA software (\$9,174,922.88), a 20% "convenience fee" for backup copies (\$1,834,984.57), plus \$150,000 as compensation for the government's willful infringement associated with the creation of additional copies that (apparently) were never used.

CONCLUSION

This decision demonstrates that software licensors can find relief if the government violates their software licenses and infringes on their copyrights. But copyright infringement trials—particularly against the government—can be long and expensive, and it may be preferable to avoid them when possible. With that in mind, government contractors interested in licensing commercial software to the government should be mindful of both the risks and the rewards and take proactive steps to avoid potential pitfalls.

² 4DD Holdings, LLC v. United States, No. 1:2015cv00945 (Ct. Fed. Cl. 2022).

³ Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. U.S. Plywood Corp., 318 F. Supp. 1116 (S.D.N.Y. 1970).

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

For instance, they should review their license agreements to ensure they fully cover their interests, including the use of broad restrictions against copying the licensed software.

It is also important to maintain an open line of communication with the government regarding the number of licenses that have been utilized so these issues can be identified and addressed early on—preferably before the scope of infringement reaches the magnitude seen in *4DD*.